would have voted for Mr. Purnell, but did not vote for him by reason of being forcibly kept from the polls?

Deponent answers—Two gentlemen (Reformers) have informed me within the last week, that they would have voted for Mr. Purnell, but were kept from the polls by intimidation. I can only recall to mind two persons, who since the election have told me that they intended to vote for Mr. Purnell, and who said they were kept from the polls.

By Mr. Freaner, chairman.

Question—To what political party did you belong previous to your joining the Reformers?

Deponent answers-To the American party.

Further, in reply, the witness, Lawrence Sangston, said, that he desired to state that he had had no special conversation with persons, nor made inquiries upon the subject at all, but had these conversations casually.

The chairman objected to this part of the answer being put down, as the question had been already answered by the witness; and the committee sustained the objection and refused

to allow the answer to be recorded;

Whereupon, Wm. H. Purnell, enters this, his protest and objection, to this ruling of the committee, and desires it to be filed in this case.

W. H. PURNELL.

31st Jan'y, 1860.

Doct. Baxley was called and sworn. (Examined in chief by contestants.)

To 1st interrogatory—Were you or not examined, as a witness, in the city of Baltimore, in the month of December last, before Daniel Myers a justice of the peace for said city, by and on behalf of the parties contesting the election of delegates to the House of Delegates of Maryland, and cross-examined on behalf of the sitting members touching certain occurrences at the polls of the 14th ward of the city of Baltimore, on the 2nd day of November, 1859?

Deponent answers-I was.

To 2nd interrogatory—Look at the deposition printed in the volume here shown to you and entitled "Contested election cases, in Baltimore, November 2d, 1859," commencing at page 224. Please state whether you have read the same, and if not, please read the same and tell the committee whether what you deposed to at the examination referred to in the first interrogatory is or is not fairly and truly set down therein as by you so deposed to, and whether the facts therein set down as having been deposed to by you, are or are not true as